1		The Honorable Julie A. Spector
2		The Hohorable Julie A. Spector
3		
4		
5		
6		
7	STATE OF	WASHINGTON
8	STATE OF WASHINGTON KING COUNTY SUPERIOR COURT	
9	Michael Parsons, et al.,	NO. 04-2-04086-8 SEA
10	Petitioners,	ORDER DENYING MOTION TO JOIN ADDITIONAL PARTIES AND
11	V.	REQUEST FOR A VIEW IN THE FORM OF INTRODUCTIONS TO
12	State of Washington, Department of Social and Health Services, et al,	PETITIONERS [Proposed]
13	Respondents.	[
14		
15		
16	This matter came before the Court on March 18, 2004 on the Petitioners Motion to	
17	Join Additional Parties and their separate Motion For A View In the Form of Introductions To	
18	Petitioners. Petitioners were represented by Michael L. Johnson and James R. Hardman of the	
19	firm Hardman and Johnson, Attorneys at Law. Respondents were represented by William L.	
20	Williams, Senior Assistant Attorney General and Edward J. Dee, Assistant Attorney General.	
21	The Court, having reviewed the pleadings filed by the parties, reviewed the file herein	
22	and having heard the argument of counsel, now enters the following Orders.	
23	I. MOTION TO JOIN ADDIT	TIONAL PARTIES
24	This motion is in essence a motion to amend the pleadings by adding new parties. As	
25	a general rule, amendment of the pleadings	s is to be freely allowed. CR 15(a). However,

11

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

where the proposed pleading as amended is facially deficient as a matter of law, the Court has discretion to deny the amendment. Shelton v. Azar, 90 Wn. App. 923, 928, 954 P.2d 352 (1998). To invoke the provisions of the Vulnerable Adult Act, a petitioner must show evidence of conduct constituting actual abuse, and Petitioners have not made such a showing with respect to the eight persons that they wish to join as additional petitioners. The only "abuse" that is alleged is that they are at risk of harm as a result of being involuntarily relocated from Fircrest School to another Residential Habilitation Center (RHC) by DSHS pursuant to its authority under RCW 71A.20 and pursuant to legislative directive. Here, such alleged risk associated with involuntary relocation required by an act of the legislature does not constitute the kind of abuse contemplated by the Vulnerable Adult Act, RCW 74.34, and therefore the proposed amended complaint would be deficient as a matter of law. Accordingly, the Motion to Join Additional Petitioners should be denied.

II. REQUEST FOR A VIEW IN THE FORM OF INTRODUCTIONS TO **PETITIONERS**

Petitioners have requested the Court to visit Petitioners, some of whom have been moved to Rainier School, for the purposes of being introduced to them. Petitioners analogize their request to a jury view which is conducted to enable fact finders to have a better understanding of the location of the events that are being described to them in a trial. However, unlike a jury view, Petitioners request that this view be "enabled" by Dr. Singh, the Superintendent at Fircrest School and a Respondent in this proceeding, and that it be conducted not for the purpose of taking evidence and without counsel present. It is difficult to imagine how such an introduction can be accomplished without crossing the line into an impermissible ex parte contact with one or more of the Petitioners and/or Respondents. In addition, Respondents have always agreed that Petitioners come within the definition of vulnerable adults contained in chapter 74.34 RCW, and that all have very significant, lifelong

1	developmental disabilities. Thus a view would add little to what has already been
2	acknowledged by the parties and made known to the Court.
3	Accordingly, the Request for a View should be denied, albeit without prejudice to a
4	further request at a later date if the Court is persuaded that such a visit will enable the Court to
5	resolve factual issues in the case, or otherwise serve "to secure the just, speedy and
6	inexpensive determination" (CR 1) of this action.
7	THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED the Motion To Join Additional Petitioners
8	be and hereby is DENIED.
9	IT IS FURTHER ORDERED the Request For View In The Form Of Introductions To
10	Petitioners be and hereby is DENIED.
11	Dated this 25th day of March, 2004.
12	
13	
14	Julie Spector, Judge
14 15	Julie Spector, Judge
	Julie Spector, Judge
15	Julie Spector, Judge
15 16	Julie Spector, Judge
15 16 17	Julie Spector, Judge
15 16 17 18	Julie Spector, Judge
15 16 17 18 19	Julie Spector, Judge
15 16 17 18 19 20	Julie Spector, Judge
15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Julie Spector, Judge
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Julie Spector, Judge